HESCO

HYDERABAD ELECTRIC SUPPLY COMPANY

GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE REPORT

FOR

TENDER NO.2025-26 XEN/CIVIL-62

TENDER REGARDING 2ND PHASE FOR THE CONSTRCUTION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF TRANSFORMER RECLAMATION WORKSHOP AT SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD / NAWABSHAH

GRIEVANCE FILED BY

M/S IRSHAD ALI DAHARI, HYDERABAD

CamScanner

REPORT OF GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE (GRC) AGAINST TENDER NO. 2025-26 XEN/CIVIL-62

1. BACKGROUND

i. Tender No. 2025-26/XEN/Civil-62 was invited by HESCO through the **Single Stage – Two Envelope Procedure** in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Public Procurement Rules, 2004.

ii. M/s Irshad Ali, a participating bidder, submitted a grievance through the EPADS on **21.06.2026 at 06:42 PM (Annex-A),** challenging the outcome of the Technical Evaluation Report (TER).

2. PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION OF MAINTAINABILITY

i. In accordance with Rule 48 of the Public Procurement Rules, 2004, the Grievance Redress Committee (GRC) pursued available record, to determine the maintainability and admissibility of the complaint before examining its merits.

ii. The Committee examined the procurement record provided by the concerned formation and observed that the tender was processed through **EPADS** under the **Single Stage – Two Envelope Procedure**. The bids were opened on **07.04.2026**, and the **Technical Evaluation Report (TER)** was uploaded on EPADS on **15.06.2026 (Annex-C).**

iii. The grievance was lodged by the complainant on **21.06.2026**, i.e. after publication of the Technical Evaluation Report.

iv. After scrutiny of the grievance, bid evaluation record, documents available on EPADS, the Committee concludes that, since the firm has not submitted the Letter of Bid, which is a basic document and an Integral part for any firm to participate in any bidding Process as per PPRA Rules. Hence, the Bidder was declared as **Technically Non-Response** by the Technical Evaluation Committee.

3. CONCLUSION.

In the light of above preliminary examination, the Committee concludes that:

i. The firm has not submitted the Letter of Bid for Technical Bid. Since, the letter of Bid is the prime document and an integral part of Bid Evaluation without the same bid cannot be entertained as per PPRA Rules, so the Bid Evaluation Committee declare the frim as **Technically Non-Response**. In view of the above, the grievance is not maintainable, hence **Rejected**.

29/7

CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
HESCO, HYDERABAD
MEMBER

29/7/26

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
HESCO, HYDERABAD
MEMBER

29/7/26

CHIEF ENGINEER (TECHNICAL)
HESCO, HYDERABAD
CONVENER

CamScanner